

	<b>Strategy</b>	<b>Engineering</b>
---	-----------------	--------------------

Title: **Kusile Power Station Tender  
Technical Evaluation Strategy  
for Utility Personnel for  
Chemical Services**

Unique Identifier: **KUS-20230807**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **10**

Next Review Date: **January 2030**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED  
DISCLOSURE**

.....

.

## **CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 SCOPE .....	3
2.1.1 Purpose .....	3
2.1.2 Applicability .....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	3
2.2.1 Normative.....	3
2.2.2 Informative .....	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS .....	3
2.3.1 Classification .....	3
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS .....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES .....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS .....	4
<b>3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD.....	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS.....	4
3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	5
3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	7
3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	8
3.5.1 Risks .....	8
3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions .....	8
<b>4. AUTHORISATION .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5. REVISIONS.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>9</b>

## **TABLES**

Table 1: TET Members.....	4
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	5
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities.....	7
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	8
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks .....	8
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	8
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	8

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

An invite will be issued calling for interested parties to participate in the tender process for tender technical Evaluation Strategy for Utility Personnel for Chemical services. This document sets out the method and criteria that will be used to evaluate the tenders that will result from this pre-qualification invite.

## **2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES**

### **2.1 SCOPE**

This strategy defines the TET, their responsibilities, and the criteria to be used to evaluate the tender responses.

#### **2.1.1 Purpose**

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

#### **2.1.2 Applicability**

This strategy document applies to Chemical Services and appointed contractor.

### **2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

#### **2.2.1 Normative**

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy.

#### **2.2.2 Informative**

- [3] KUS-20230709 Kusile Power Station Utility Personnel for Chemical Services Scope of Work

## **2.3 DEFINITIONS**

### **2.3.1 Classification**

**Controlled Disclosure:** Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **2.4 ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
CV	Curriculum Vitae
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
TES	Technical evaluation Strategy
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

## **2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

## **2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING**

N/A

## **2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

N/a

## **3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY**

### **3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD**

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

### **3.2 TET MEMBERS**

**Table 1: TET Members**

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting
<b>1.</b>	<b>Similar/Related Work Experience</b>			<b>20%</b>	
	1.1	Two years and more experience	Submitted two CV's		5
	1.2	One year and more experience	Submitted one or two CV's		4
	1.3	Less than one year	Submitted one or two CV's		2
<b>2.</b>	<b>Qualifications</b>			<b>35%</b>	
	2.1	Matric/N3 certificates with Maths and Science	Submitted two certificates		5
	2.2	Matric/N3 certificate	Submitted one or two certificates		4
<b>3.</b>	<b>Vehicle Driving competency</b>			<b>15%</b>	
	3.1	National driver's licence	Submitted two copies		5
	3.2	No driver's licence	No submission		0
<b>4.</b>	<b>Forklift operation competency</b>			<b>20%</b>	
	4.1	Forklift operating licence/permit	Submitted two licences/permits		5
	4.2	Forklift operating licence/permit	Submitted one licences/permits		4
	4.3	No licence/permit	No submission		0
<b>5.</b>	<b>Crane operation competency</b>			<b>10%</b>	
	5.1	Crane operation licence/permit/certificate	Submitted two licence/permit/certificate		5
	5.2	Crane operation licence/permit/certificate	Submitted one licence/permit/certificate		4

	5.3	No licence/permit/certificate	No submission		0
				<b>TOTAL: 100</b>	

### **3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES**

**Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities**

<b>Qualitative Criteria Number</b>	<b>TET 1</b>	<b>TET 2</b>	<b>TET 3</b>
1.	X	X	X
2.	X	X	X
3.	X	X	X
4.	X	X	X
5.	X	X	X

### **3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS**

#### **3.5.1 Risks**

**Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	N/A

**Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	Improper handling of hazardous chemicals and samples
2.	Non-performance as per SOW

#### **3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions**

**Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	N/A

**Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	Experience that is below the required minimum



#### **4. AUTHORISATION**

This document has been seen and accepted by:

#### **5. REVISIONS**

#### **6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Evans Ramabina

#### **7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

N/A

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.